

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/600,948	06/20/2003	Richard Mark Amasino	960296.96871	9478	
7590 06/07/2007 Nicholas J. Seay			EXAMINER		
P O Box 2113			MEHTA, ASHWIN D		
Madison, WI 53701-2113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			06/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/600,948	AMASINO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ashwin Mehta	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. FONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	arch 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	•				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-27,29 and 30</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21,22,25 and 26</u> is/are allowed.	•					
6) Claim(s) 23,24,27,29 and 30 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2003 is/are: a)		d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		cation No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumr	mary (PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	rial materit Application				
	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/600,948 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed March 22, 2007 was entered.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

3. The objections to the specification are withdrawn, in light of the amendments.

The rejection of claims 27 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. 101 is withdrawn in light of the claim

amendments.

4.

5. The rejection of claims 21-30 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph is withdrawn in light of

the claim amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 23, 24, 27, 29, and 30 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as

failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled

in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

claimed invention, for the reasons of record stated in the Office action mailed January 4, 2007.

Art Unit: 1638

Applicants traverse in the paper filed March 22, 2007. Applicants' arguments were fully considered but were not found persuasive.

Applicants argue that the amendments render the rejection moot and that the claims now encompass a narrower range of FLC2 polypeptides (response, page 9, 4th full paragraph). However, the claims encompass nucleotide sequences that encode amino acid sequences that can differ from SEQ ID NO: 4 by as much as 30% outside of the MADS box domain. This encompasses changes of up to 34 of the amino acids of residues 61-173 of SEQ ID NO: 4. The specification does not describe amino acid domains other than the MADS box that are essential to the function of SEQ ID NO: 4. The specification does not describe any species of nucleotide sequence encompassed by the claims that differ from those encoding SEQ ID NO: 4 that, when overexpressed in a plant, will delay the onset of flowering.

7. Claims 23, 24, 27, 29, and 30 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the claimed seeds, plants, construction, and method wherein the protein coding sequence encodes SEQ ID NO: 4, does not reasonably provide enablement for the claimed invention wherein the encoded FLC2 differs from SEQ ID NO: 4 by as much as 50% outside of the MADS box domain. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims, for the reasons of record stated in the Office action mailed January 4, 2007. Applicants traverse in the paper filed March 22, 2007. Applicants' arguments were fully considered but were not found persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,948

Art Unit: 1638

Applicants argue that the claims are amended to recite that the sequences are at least 70% identical and therefore can vary by only 30% (response, page 9, last paragraph). However, this encompasses changes in 34 amino acids while still retaining functional activity. In the absence of further guidance, undue experimentation would be required by one skilled in the art to determine amino acid domains other than the MADS box domain in SEQ ID NO: 4 that are important for functional activity, and how residues may be altered without affecting activity.

Summary

- 8. Claims 23, 24, 27, 29, and 30 remain rejected. Claims 21, 22, 25, and 26 are allowed.
- 9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1638

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Ashwin Mehta, whose telephone number is 571-272-0803. The Examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 A.M to 5:30 P.M. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg, can be reached at 571-272-0975. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300. Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

June 5, 2007

Ashwin D. Mehta, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1638